

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,957	04/19/2001	Kent Wendorf	81862.P247	4512
7590 08/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Florin Corie			RYMAN, DANIEL J	
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2665	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			av
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	09/839,957	WENDORF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel J. Ryman	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>19 April 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	hdrawn from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			`
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		and to but the Everyines	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2001 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection t		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	÷, ,	•	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Aperiority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1) Interview C	ımmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(s	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 15-18, 23-26, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fan et al. (USPN 6,408,005).
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 17, and 25, Fan discloses a method, system, and software, the method and software comprising the steps of and the system comprising means for: determining a position on a time scale (compare timestamp to current time) of a buffer containing a plurality of data units (col. 6, lines 25-34 and col. 15, line 58-col. 16, line 50); and modifying a signal prompting selection of said buffer for release of at least one data unit of said plurality of data units based on said position on said time scale (priority increased and rate increased when timestamp is less than current time) (col. 15, line 58-col. 16, line 50 and col. 17, lines 25-40).
- 4. Regarding claims 2, 18, and 26, Fan discloses that said network is an Asynchronous Transfer Mode Network (col. 1, lines 10-13).
- 5. Regarding claims 7, 23, and 31, Fan discloses selecting said buffer for release of said at least one data unit (col. 17, lines 12-44); and updating a time parameter (timestamp) of said buffer with a predetermined departure parameter (1/M) (col. 16, lines 16-24 and col. 16, line 64-col. 17, line 5).

Art Unit: 2665

6. Regarding claims 8, 24, and 32, Fan discloses that said plurality of data units further comprises cells (col. 1, lines 10-13).

Page 3

- Regarding claim 9, Fan discloses a system comprising: a memory module for storing a plurality of buffers (col. 15, lines 45-55); and a scheduler module for determining a position on a time scale (compare timestamp to current time) of a buffer of said plurality of buffers, said buffer containing a plurality of data units (col. 6, lines 25-34 and col. 15, line 58-col. 16, line 50) and for modifying a signal prompting selection of said buffer for release of at least one data unit of said plurality of data units based on said position on said time scale (priority increased and rate increased when timestamp is less than current time) (col. 15, line 58-col. 16, line 50 and col. 17, lines 25-40).
- 8. Regarding claim 10, Fan discloses that said network is an Asynchronous Transfer Mode Network (col. 1, lines 10-13).
- 9. Regarding claim 15, Fan discloses that said scheduler module further selects said buffer for release of said at least one data unit (col. 17, lines 12-44), and updates a time parameter (timestamp) of said buffer with a predetermined departure parameter (1/M) (col. 16, lines 16-24 and col. 16, line 64-col. 17, line 5).
- 10. Regarding claim 16, Fan discloses that said plurality of data units further comprises cells (col. 1, lines 10-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2665

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 12. Claims 3-6, 11-14, 19-22, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan et al. (USPN 6,408,005).
- Regarding claims 3, 11, 19, and 27, Fan discloses comparing a time parameter (timestamp) of said buffer with a current time counter value (col. 15, line 58-col. 16, line 50; col. 17, lines 25-40; and col. 17, line 64-col. 18, line 15); and incrementing a counter (fi) related to said signal if a difference between said current time counter value and said time parameter is greater than zero (col. 17, line 64-col. 18, line 5) where it is implicit that this incrementing is performed to flag an active queue (fi becomes 1).

Fan does not expressly disclose incrementing a counter related to said signal if a difference between said current time counter value and said time parameter is greater than twice the value of a predetermined departure parameter. However, Fan also discloses checking for a condition to see if the timestamp falls behind current time by a designated amount, which is greater than the value of a predetermined departure parameter (1/M) (col. 16, lines 40-50). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to increment a counter related to said signal if a difference between said current time counter value and said time parameter is greater than the value of a predetermined departure parameter in order to flag the system that the signal needs to be caught up.

Fan does not expressly disclose that the difference is greater than twice the value of a predetermine departure parameter; however, Fan does disclose that the difference is greater than the value of the predetermined departure parameter (1/M) (col. 16, lines 40-50). It is generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select, or optimize the

Art Unit: 2665

numerical parameters or values of any system absent a showing of criticality in a particular recited value. The burden of showing criticality is on applicant. In re Mason, 87 F.2d 370, 32 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1937); Marconi Wireless Telegraph Co. v. U.S., 320 U.S. 1, 57 USPQ 471 (1943); In re Schneider, 148 F.2d 108, 65 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1945); In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1055); In re Saether, 492 F.2d 849, 181 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1974); In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977); In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Since Fan discloses that the difference is greater than an amount, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use any amount, including twice the value of the predetermined departure parameter, absent a showing of criticality by Applicant.

Regarding claims 4, 12, 20, and 28, Fan discloses comparing a time parameter (timestamp) of said buffer with a current time counter value (col. 15, line 58-col. 16, line 50; col. 17, lines 25-40; and col. 17, line 64-col. 18, line 15); and decrementing a counter (fi) related to said signal if a difference between said current time counter value and said time parameter is lower than zero (col. 17, line 64-col. 18, line 5) where it is implicit that this decrementing is performed to flag an active queue (fi becomes 0).

Fan does not expressly disclose decrementing a counter related to said signal if a difference between said current time counter value and said time parameter is lower than twice the value of a predetermined departure parameter. However, Fan also discloses checking for a condition to see if the timestamp falls behind current time by a designated amount, which is greater than the value of a predetermined departure parameter (1/M) (col. 16, lines 40-50). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 2665

decrement a counter related to said signal if a difference between said current time counter value and said time parameter is lower than the value of a predetermined departure parameter in order to flag the system that the signal does not need to be caught up.

Fan does not expressly disclose that the difference is greater than twice the value of a predetermine departure parameter; however, Fan does disclose that the difference is greater than the value of the predetermined departure parameter (1/M) (col. 16, lines 40-50). It is generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select, or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent a showing of criticality in a particular recited value. The burden of showing criticality is on applicant. In re Mason, 87 F.2d 370, 32 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1937); Marconi Wireless Telegraph Co. v. U.S., 320 U.S. 1, 57 USPQ 471 (1943); In re Schneider, 148 F.2d 108, 65 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1945); In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1055); In re Saether, 492 F.2d 849, 181 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1974); In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977); In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Since Fan discloses that the difference is greater than an amount, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use any amount, including twice the value of the predetermined departure parameter, absent a showing of criticality by Applicant.

Regarding claims 5, 13, 21, and 29, Fan discloses that said modifying further comprises: asserting said signal if said counter reaches a set threshold value (col. 16, lines 40-50 and col. 17, line 64-col. 18, line 5) where the threshold value is 1.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/839,957

Art Unit: 2665

16. Regarding claims 6, 14, 22, and 30, Fan discloses that said modifying further comprises:

deasserting said signal if said counter reaches a reset threshold value (col. 16, lines 40-50 and

col. 17, line 64-col. 18, line 5) where the reset threshold value is 0.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00-4:30 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIC

Daniel J. Ryman Examiner

Art Unit 2665

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600